Localized microstructure enhancement via Friction Stir Processing for die cast components
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Friction stir processing (FSP) is an outgrowth of Friction stir welding (FSW) that locally manipulates the microstructure by imparting a high level of energy in the solid state resulting in improved mechanical properties. This study has shown that FSP can be implemented as a post-casting method to locally eliminate casting defects, such as porosity, which is generated by gas evolution during casting. Coarse second phases are broken into fine nearly equiaxed particles and uniformly distributed in the matrix. Moreover, grain refinement is obtained by dynamic recrystallization during FSP. This results in improved microhardness, tensile properties and fatigue properties of the cast FSP processed A206 alloy. In addition, FSP is a viable means to produce localized composite structures in cast Al components. Such improvements have important implications for manufactured components for a variety of automotive and other industrial applications. The convenience of FSP as a post-processing step that can easily be adapted during machining operation makes it very attractive to adopt. These results will be reviewed and discussed.
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INTRODUCTION
Complexities of casting, which involve heat, fluid and mass transport processes, give rise to heterogeneities of the microstructure, formation of porosity, and defects. These flaws deteriorate materials properties and limit casting applications. In a sense, it can be considered that high-quality castings are made not only by controlling the microstructure, but also more importantly through control (or management) of defects. In this vein, a processing method, Friction Stir Processing (FSP), has been pursued to locally control or affect the microstructure, and to reduce porosities and defects to improve cast properties. FSP was developed based on the principle of Friction Stir Welding (FSW) [1]. In FSP a high-speed rotating tool (rod) with a flat shoulder and probe penetrates into and traverses across the work piece, while the head face of the tool shoulder fully contacts the work piece. Frictional heat (between the tool and the work piece) can be high enough to produce plastic deformation of the matrix around the moving tool; this alters and refines the local microstructure, reduces porosity and defects, which results in local property improvement.

FSP has emerged as an important post-processing technique and has drawn much attention. It was first used in highly alloyed wrought Al alloys such as the 2XXX and 7XXX series for aerospace applications; superplasticity, high strength, and fracture resistance of the alloy were attained via FSP [2-4]. A unique characteristic of FSP is the resultant ultrafine grain structure and simultaneous improvements in the strength and ductility of the processed alloy [5]. This phenomenon is contrary to the Hall-Patch Law, wherein fine grains result in grain boundary strengthening [6] at the expense of ductility [7]. This implies that FSP has a different strengthening mechanism. Several factors can account for the property improvements we see in the FSPed region, such as grain size and grain morphology, distribution and density of dislocations, and grain boundary morphology. Friction stir processed microstructures have the following features [8]: (i) equiaxed, fine grains – even ultra-fine grains (nano-sized scale) [9-11], (ii) very fine second phases and (iii) large fraction of high-angle grain boundaries. These microstructural features enable superplastic deformation at high strain rates and/or low temperatures [12-14] as well as improved room-temperature formability [15]. Another attractive feature of FSP is that it can be incorporated in the overall manufacturing cycle as a post-processing step during the machining operation to achieve a localized composite structure in the cast component. Secondly, porosity that is generated by gas evolution, as well as undesirable interfacial reactions between the reinforcement phase and the matrix are mitigated via FSP[16]. Third, FSP can be used as a tool for the repair of localized defects.

In this study FSP was performed on cast A206 aluminum alloy. A206 is an important and widely used Al casting alloy because of its high strength and good machinability. FSP parameters were optimized for this alloy to locally manipulate the cast microstructure - porosity alleviation, grain refinement, and second phase homogenization. This study also evaluated the resultant mechanical properties, both static and dynamic. For composite fabrication via FSP, the reinforcements were emplaced in the pre-machined cavity in the Al A206 work piece. Three reinforcement materials and several key processing parameters were investigated. The motive behind this study was to confirm and demonstrate FSP as an enabling technology for post-processing of cast A206 components. By doing so, the cast structure can be locally manipulated to attain wrought material attributes; this opens up the design space of cast Al alloys towards that for wrought Al alloys.
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENTS

The main platform for FSP was a HAAS CNC milling machine. A specifically designed FSP tool head made from H13 tool steel was used. The diameter of the tool shoulder is 16 mm, and at the end of the shoulder a tapered probe whose length is 3.2 mm is manufactured. A tilt angle (angle between machine spindle and work piece normal) of three degrees is used to induce the forging action at the trailing edge of the shoulder. This is achieved by inserting a back plate whose surface is machined into a slant surface. Proper tool penetration depth is very important in generating enough friction heat between the tool and the work piece and producing good FSP finish on the work piece. The minimum tool penetration depth requires the shoulder of the tool to have enough contact with the work piece, and this number is calculated based on the real contact condition between the tool and the material. The local temperature of the work piece was measured by K-type thermocouples and the data was recorded by the FLIR S40 system. Four equi-distant holes were drilled along the FSP traverse direction to accommodate four thermocouples, and the distance between each thermocouple was 2.54 cm. These thermocouples were inserted into the work piece at 2 mm below the top surface, and the tip of each thermocouple was near the centerline of the FSP nugget.

Eck Industries provided 6.25mm thick commercial A206 plates. The composition of the alloy is shown in Table 1. Dimensions of the work piece are 150 mm X 75 mm X 6.25 mm. FSP was directly applied on the casting surface, and the processing parameters were as follows: tool rotation speed –1000 RPM, tool traverse speed – 50.8 mm/min.

Samples for metallographic analysis were sectioned perpendicular to the FSP traverse direction and prepared for the microstructure analysis following the routine procedure. Barker’s etchant and the polarized light were used to clearly reveal the grain size and morphology. Thin films for the TEM analysis was prepared via the focus ion beam (FIB) technique at particular locations in the processed region. A Carl Zeiss SUPRA-55 SEM equipped with the EBSD detector was used for EBSD data acquisition. The step size chosen for the FSPed specimen was 0.5 µm, and for the as-cast specimen was 5 µm.

The Knoop hardness (HK) test was conducted using the Buehler Omnimet MHT automatic microhardness test system with a load of 500 gf. As shown in Fig. 1, the test specimens were sectioned perpendicular to the FSP traverse direction to reveal the transverse cross-section. Measurements were taken on the transverse cross-section along two lines. The first line is ~ 300 µm below the top surface of the FSP region, and the second line is the middle line of the FSP region. They are named as location 1 (L1) and location 2 (L2) respectively in the results and discussion section.

The tensile test specimen was taken from the FSP nugget (the homogenous microstructure region) along the FSP traversing centerline as shown in Fig. 2. The specimen had a 25 mm gauge length with a cross section of 12.5 x 6.3 mm. It is a standard specimen in accordance to ASTM B8. The test was conducted in the Instron machine 5500 at room temperature. The ramp rate was set at 1 mm/min (strain rate of 4%) and the strain was measured using an extensometer of 25 mm gauge length.

The ultrasonic fatigue tests were conducted at room temperature in the ambient air over a range of stresses using fully reversed load (R= -1). The specimen had cylindrical gauge sections, and it was turned from a rectangular bar; dimensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1</th>
<th>Composition of the Commercial A206 Alloy.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Si</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Composizione della lega commerciale A206.

**FIG. 1** A macrograph of the FSPed region showing two locations where the microhardness data were collected.

Micrografia della regione trattata con FSP che mostra le due linee lungo le quali sono stati raccolti i dati di microdurezza.

**FIG. 2** Specimen for the tensile test: (a) schematic diagram of the tensile bar; (b) image of the tensile bar.

Provini utilizzati per le prove di trazione: (a) disegno schematico del provino; (b) immagine e dimensioni del provino.
The dimensions of the bar were 15 (L) x 1.4 (W) x 1.4 (H) cm. For the FSPed specimen, the gauge section was manufactured from the FSP nugget to ensure the homogeneity of the microstructure in this region. To ensure a consistent surface finish with low residual stress, samples were polished along the longitudinal direction to reach a Ra 8 value; these were done at Westmoreland Materials Testing in Youngstown, PA, USA.

The following three reinforcement materials to fabricate the composite layers were used: (i) 20 nm Ta powders; (ii) 100 nm SiC powders; and (iii) discontinuously reinforced aluminium (DRA). The reinforcements were emplaced into a slot that was manufactured in the surface of the work piece. The dimensions of the cavity were 50 (L) X 2 (W) X 4 (T) mm. Prior to FSP, the top of the slot was covered and sealed by the pre-friction-stirring action using a probe of only one millimetre in length to ensure the reinforcements (powders) were well encapsulated. The centerline of the slot was 1 mm offset from the centerline of the tool-traversing route to make sure that the advancing side of the tool fully contacted the reinforced materials. FSP was carried out at a tool rotating speed 1000 RPM and traversing speed 50 mm/min. Effects of multi-pass-FSP (up to two passes) and the amount of the reinforcement material were investigated.

Microstructure Evolution

Fig. 3a is a composite image showing the morphology and distribution of microshrinkage porosities inside the as-cast A206 specimen. To quantitatively analyze the 2-D porosity population distribution, a large area (7 mm x 5 mm) metallographic cross-section was examined to ensure a sufficient number of pores were in the analysis space. Sizes and distributions of all porosities were statistically analyzed with an image processing software - “Image J”. The size of the porosity was characterized with the equivalent circular diameter (ECD), which is defined as

$$D = 2 \times \sqrt{\frac{A}{\pi}}$$

where A is the 2-D projected area of the porosity.

For the as-cast A206, the average porosity ECD was 37 µm, and the total area fraction of the microshrinkage porosity was 0.65%.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS
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where A is the 2-D projected area of the porosity.

For the as-cast A206, the average porosity ECD was 37 µm, and the total area fraction of the microshrinkage porosity was 0.65%.
80% of ECDs were between 20 µm and 50 µm. After FSP, more than 97% of the pores were closed due to plastic deformation of the material under the high downward force and shear forces. This indicates that FSP is a viable method to significantly reduce porosity in the cast component.

Fig. 4 shows optical micrographs of A206 pre-and post-FSP. Grain boundaries and grain size are clearly depicted in Fig. 4a and Fig. 4b. Dendritic structures and large grains more than 400 µm in diameter are observed in the as-cast A206 microstructure. However, after FSP, equiaxed and fine grains in the size of less than 10 µm were observed, almost a reduction of one magnitude order. In addition, there was second phase compound refinement. The microstructure of the as-cast A206 contained second phases that were mainly coarse needle-like CuAl2-CuAl compounds (Fig. 4c). In contrast, in the FSP region fine second phase particles uniformly distributed were observed (Fig. 4d). These small particles were CuAl2-CuAl compounds broken by the stirring action of the probe, and the aspect ratio of the refined particle was near one.

Grain boundary characteristics were analyzed via EBSD. Fig. 5a and Fig. 5c are image quality (IQ) maps taken from the as-cast A206 and FSPed A206 samples. The IQ map is overlaid with grain boundary angles. The “high angle” boundaries are defined as boundaries with misorientations exceeding 15 degrees, whereas the “low angle” boundaries are defined as boundaries with misorientations between 1 degree and 15 degrees. High-angle grain boundaries are marked in blue color. When quantitatively analyzing the grain boundary characteristics, for instance, calculating the fraction of high-angle boundaries, the minimum boundary misorientation should be defined. In this case, the minimum boundary misorientation was set to 1. Fig. 5b and Fig. 5d are histograms showing the frequency distribution of grain boundary misorientation angles in the as-cast and FSPed specimen. The fraction of high angle grain boundaries increased from 26.6 % to 76.7% after FSP.

FSP introduces a large amount of dislocations in the work piece. The rearrangement or elimination of these dislocations reduces the internal energy of the system; this is the thermodynamic driving force for dynamic recovery. During dynamic recovery, subgrains or cell structures are formed by dislocation rearrangement.
and accumulation, and these substructures can only be revealed under TEM because they do not have typical grain boundaries that can be clearly demarked with optical microscopy. Moreover, because there is no migration of high angle boundaries, most subgrains are formed with low angle boundaries. Contrary to dynamic recovery, there is large scale high-angle boundary migration during dynamic recrystallization, and if grain refinement is caused via dynamic recrystallization, boundaries of the refined grains can be clearly delineated by both optical microscopy and TEM. This study found that the grain refinement via FSP was mainly due to dynamic recrystallization, as we have observed clear grain boundaries (Fig. 4b and Fig. 6a) as well as a high fraction of high angle boundaries. However, as shown in Fig. 6b, some dislocation tangles and cell structures remain inside the refined grain, which indicates that dynamic recovery did take place during FSP. Due to the high stacking fault energy of the aluminum, dislocations interact with each other easily during the recovery stage, which led to dislocations annihilation. Especially for low-angle grain boundaries, they are sinks of dislocations and they can promote the recovery and reduce the dislocation density [17]. FSP produced a large fraction of high-angle boundaries and the remained dislocation density was higher in the FSPed work piece compared with in the as-cast work piece.

Mechanical Properties Enhancement
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FIG. 7 Microhardness profile of the as-cast A206 and FSP A206: (a) grain size difference in the FSP region; (b) microhardness values.

Profili di microdurezza del materiale A206 as-cast e del materiale A206 sottoposto a FSP: (a) differenza della dimensione dei grani nella regione sottoposta a FSP; (b) valori di microdurezza.

FIG. 8 Typical stress-strain curves for as-cast and FSP A206.

Curve sforzo-deformazione tipiche per il materiale A206 nello stato as-cast e in quello di sottoposto a FSP.
specimen. The quality index (QI) can be calculated based on the following equations [18]

\[ QI = UTS + k \times \log E \]  

(2)

where UTS is the ultimate tensile strength in MPa; \( k \) is a constant whose value is 150 for the aluminum alloy; \( E \) is the elongation. Because the yield strength (YS) is less influenced by casting defects, we used the yield strength to calculate the QI instead of UTS. The YS is given by

\[ YS = UTS - 60 \times \log E - 13 \]  

(3)

Accordingly, the quality index can be expressed as

\[ QI = YS + 210 \times \log E + 13 \]  

(4)

The FSPed specimen showed better tensile properties with the QI increasing from 360 to 486. The enhanced yield stress was attributed to the dislocation pinning effect. FSP introduced a large number of dislocations in the work piece. Although the dislocation density was lowered by dislocation annihilation during the recovery stage, there were still many dislocations preserved within the refined grains or at grain boundaries. At grain boundaries, the dislocations accumulated and interacted with one another, which served as pinning points or obstacles that significantly impeded their motion under loading as exhibited in the tensile test. Moreover, those fine and uniformly dispersed second phase particles interacted with the tangled dislocations, which further prohibited dislocation movement and strengthened the material during low strain deformation. This resulted in the observed higher yield strength. The increased UTS was due to porosity elimination as well as refinement of grains and second phases. Ductility improved after FSP – the elongation was increased by more than 150%. The significant ductility enhancement is due to the higher work hardening rate of the FSPed A206 compared to the as-cast A206.

Commonly, when the cyclic load is run to \( 10^8 \) or \( 10^9 \) cycles (in the ultrasonic fatigue mode), the S-N curve flattens out [19]. The value of the stress amplitude at \( 10^8 \) or \( 10^9 \) cycles is the fatigue strength of the material and this number is important in industrial applications. A crack can initiate in the specimen when the cyclic load is at or below this value; however, the crack cannot propagate to cause the final failure because the driving force for crack propagation is very low [19, 20]. So far, the value of the fatigue strength cannot be estimated accurately because of the existence of scatters on the S-N plot when the cyclic load is run to very high cycles. In this study, the staircase test methodology was used [21] to reduce the above issue and associated errors, and to correctly determine the fatigue strength of the A206 aluminum alloy. The fatigue test was carried out in the ultrasonic fatigue test mode at a series of stress amplitudes within a predetermined range.

Fig. 9 shows experimental staircase test results for the as-cast A206 and FSPed A206. Assuming the fatigue strength follows a normal distribution, the mean fatigue strength of the as-cast A206 at \( 10^8 \) cycles and the population standard deviation were estimated to be 85.5 Mpa and 1.56 Mpa, respectively. For the FSPed A206, these properties were 165 Mpa and 1.56 Mpa, respectively. It is noted that the fatigue strength of the FSPed A206 was almost two times higher than the as-cast A206. Moreover, the ratio of this fatigue strength to the yield strength was increased for the FSPed A206 compared with the as-cast A206. For the as-cast A206, the yield strength is 190 Mpa, and the fatigue strength endurance is 85 Mpa, which is ~ 45% of the YS; whereas for the FSPed A206, the yield strength is increased to 225 Mpa, and the fatigue strength endurance is raised to more than 75% of the YS. The increase in both the yield strength and the ratio indicated that the improved fatigue behavior after FSP was due to the elimination of casting defects, which was micro-shrinkage porosity in this material.

In addition to the staircase test, some complementary tests were carried out at higher stress amplitudes to fill in the high-stress-amplitude region data and to obtain the general trend of the S-N curve. To generate the S-N trend curve, the general non-linear model [22] was applied to represent the data. Fig. 10 shows S-N plots of the as-cast A206 and FSPed A206. Specimens tested to \( 10^8 \) cycles without failure were regarded as run-outs, and they are marked with arrows in the figure. The influence of FSP on the fatigue behavior of A206 is clearly seen in Figure 10. Compared with the S-N curve of the as-cast specimen, the S-N curve of the FSPed specimen was shifted to the regime where both the stress amplitude and life cycle were higher. FSP resulted in a larger fatigue resistance in the high stress ampli-

---

**FIG. 9** Experimental staircase test results for A206 at 108 cycles (a) as-cast A206; (b) FSPed A206.

Risultati sperimentali della prova a gradini per la lega A206 dopo 108 cicli (a) per la lega A206 as-cast; (b) per la lega A206 sottoposta a FSP.
Fatigue life is longer than $10^6$ cycles even when the stress amplitude reached 215 Mpa. Moreover, the FSP S-N plot had a steep transition from $10^6$ to $10^7$. The number of cycles was only reduced by less than one order of magnitude when the stress amplitude increased from 175 Mpa to 215 Mpa. The total fatigue life consists of the number of cycles required for crack initiation and the number of cycles required for crack growth and propagation to the failure. For cast Al alloys, at high stress amplitudes, the crack is readily initiated at casting defects, such as large pores due to high stress concentration at these locations. In such cases, fatigue life is dominated by the crack growth and propagation stage from the initial crack to the final fatal crack length, because the crack initiation life is negligible [23, 24]. FSP significantly elongated the fatigue life under high stress amplitudes, which indicates that the number of cycles at the crack initiation stage also had impact on the total fatigue life.

In general, the fracture surface consists of three distinct regions: the crack initiation region, the steady crack growth and propagation region and the fast fracture region. These regions can be identified in the fracture surfaces shown in Fig. 11. The as-cast specimen had a porous fracture surface; large porosities whose diameters were up to 200 $\mu$m were observed in the SEM micrograph (Fig. 11a). The crack initiation region for the as-cast specimen (marked with the blue circle in Fig. 11a) was characterized by one/some microshrinkage porosities located near the edge of the specimen. In the crack growth region, there were a large number of transcry stalline cleavages radiating from the crack initiation region. FSP is an advanced tool to mitigate microstructural inhomogeneities. In this study, 97% of the total porosity was eliminated after FSP, especially noticeable is the elimination of the relatively large pores. From Fig. 11b we can see that there are no obvious casting defects in the fracture surface. The fatigue crack initiated from a weak point near the edge where the concentrated stress was high. In the crack propagation region, cracks grew perpendicular to the load direction. River patterns that were parallel to the crack advancing direction were clearly revealed. The final region contained the fast fracture that was caused by overloading.

Fig. 11c and Fig. 11d show two SEM micrographs depicting the different fatigue crack initiators of the as-cast and FSPed specimens. For the as-cast specimen, the fatigue crack originated from a pore near the edge (Fig. 11c). The irregular shape of the pore and the dendritic appearance indicates that it was due to microshrinkage porosity. The ECD of the microshrinkage porosity was ~109 $\mu$m. Fracture surfaces of 14 as-cast specimens were closely examined with SEM, and all cracks were caused by microshrinkage porosities located at or very close to the specimen surface. The statistical analysis results of these porosities, i.e. ECDs, the number of porosities, and the corresponding stress amplitudes are shown in Table 2. 36% of the fatal cracks were initiated from multiple porosities that were in close proximity to each other (marked with M in Table 2). If a fracture surface contained multiple porosities, the ECD of the largest porosity located near the edge is listed in the Table. It has been found that the crack was always initiated from large porosities, and there was no obvious correspondence between the ECD of the poro-
sity and the applied stress amplitude. These large size porosi-
ties were totally eliminated via FSP, which improved the resi-
stance to the crack initiation stage. For the FSPed specimen,
although most coarse Al-Cu components were broken into uni-
form dispersed fine Al-Cu particles, there were still some larger
size Al₂Cu compounds remaining in the matrix. Fatigue crack
was readily initiated on the interface between the large and hard
precipitates and the matrix (see Fig. 11d).

### TABLE 2 Statistical analysis of the crack initiators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>σ (Mpa)</th>
<th>ECD (µm)</th>
<th>Single or Multiple</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>123.5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Composite Fabrication
The feasibility of composite fabrication via FSP was first de-
monstrated by mixing-in nano-sized Ta powders in the A206 ma-
trix. The composite region was fabricated by filling a cavity of
~400 mm³. Fig. 12a shows the microstructure of the composite
region which is revealed by SEM, and Fig.12b is the spectrum
confirming that the particles viewed as bright spots in the white
rectangular shape are Ta powders. In the SEM image, the grey
background is the aluminum matrix and the larger and lighter
particles (~2 µm) are the Al-Cu precipitates broken by the stir-
ring action of the tool during FSP. In addition to the size, the Ta
powder has a different morphology compared with the Al-Cu
particles. The Ta powders are round, whereas the Al-Cu inter-
metallic particles have irregular shapes with sharp edges and
corners.

The following factors account for the homogenous distribution
of Ta powders: (1) particle encapsulation – the top of the slot was
closed by a cover prior to FSP, which ensured that the powders
were well confined in the slot and driven by the movement of
the matrix materials during FSP; (2) sufficient input heat - input
heat was high enough to ensure adequate materials flow, by
which the reinforced particles were easily wrapped and moved
around the tool; (3) complete contact between particles and the
tool – the FSP tool was made up of two parts: a flat shoulder
and a screwed probe at the end of the shoulder. The shoulder was
the main heat resource [25], however, the probe contributed a lot
on the material movement – the soften metal moved from the
advancing side to the retreating side, but only limited to the
range of probe diameter [26]. This was the reason that the route
along which the tool traversed ahead was offset 1mm from the
centerline of the slot - to make sure the advancing side of the

### FIG. 12 SEM/EDS analysis of the Ta-Al composite layer: (a) SEM image; (b) EDS spectrum showing elements of the detected area.

### FIG. 13 SEM images of the Ta-Al composite layer: (a) overall distribution of Ta powders inside the composite layer after one-pass FSP; (b) Ta powders agglomerates; (c) improved distribution of Ta powders inside the composite layer after two-pass FSP under higher magnification.

Immagini SEM dello strato composito Ta-Al: (a) distribuzione generale delle polveri di Ta all’interno dello strato composito dopo un passaggio di FSP; (b) agglomerazione di polveri di Ta; (c) distribuzione migliorata delle polveri di Ta all’interno dello strato composito dopo due passaggi di FSP vista con ingrandimento maggiore.
probe was fully contacted with the reinforced material.

Fig. 13 shows the effect of multi-pass FSP on the distributions of Ta powders in the composite region. After one pass these powders were stirred into the matrix and the distribution was good (Fig. 13a). However, some powders-agglomeration phenomenon was observed under higher magnification (Fig. 13b white circle). These powder agglomerations could be alleviated by two-pass FSP (Fig. 13c). The powders were dispersed more homogeneously after two-pass FSP compared with the dispersion produced by one-pass FSP.

SiC/Al composites have high strength as well as good corrosion resistance, and they are the most commonly used composites. Most of SiC/Al composites are fabricated with large size SiC particles (µm scale), and few can be produced with nano-sized SiC reinforced particles. The aim of this study is to locally fabricate the SiC/Al composites in the cast components by using smaller-size SiC particles (nano scale). Following the success in synthesis of the localized Ta/Al composite, FSP was applied to fabricate the SiC particle-reinforced cast alloy A206 matrix composite using the same setup and procedure as for making Ta/Al composite. The results showed that the distribution of nano-sized SiC powders in the matrix was good (see Fig. 14a). The spectrum in Fig. 14b shows that the particles within the white rectangular frame in Fig. 14a are SiC particles, and the large particle on the left corner of Fig. 14a is a broken Al-Cu particle. The average size of the initial SiC particles was about 100 nm but some large SiC particles of up to 0.5 µm can be observed in the composite layer.

The effects of the reinforcement amount on the particle distribution and the quality of the composite were investigated. Tests were conducted on two levels of cavity filling: one was 200 mm³, which filled half of the slot whose volume was 400 mm³; another was 400 mm³ – fully filled the slot. Fig. 15 shows the macrographs of the transverse section of the composite regions fabricated with different amounts of SiC particles. These pictures are composite pictures, which represented a large area, and covers the entire FSP region and vicinity. It can be observed in Fig. 15a that when the particles filled half of the slot, or the smaller amount of particles were added, the particles were prone to move towards the bottom of the FSP region on the retreating side; few SiC particles can be observed in the top or the middle of the FSP region. When more SiC particles were added, the distribution was improved, and this is shown in Fig. 15b.

Fig. 16 contains two optical micrographs of the metal matrix composite, which was fabricated by emplacing DRA into the aluminum matrix. The discontinuously reinforced aluminum is a premade solid composite with a high fraction of reinforcement.
• FSP strengthened A206 locally. Microhardness was increased.

CONCLUSIONS

The reinforcing dispersion in the FSP region of the composites fabricated with different number of stirring passes: (a) 1 pass; (b) 2 passes.

Particles. DRA has a continuous metallic phase, into which a second phase is artificially introduced. The matrix of the DRA applied in this study is aluminum alloy 6061 containing about 50 wt% of Si and SiC particles. In Fig. 16a, the interface zone between the composite region and the aluminum substrate can clearly be seen; the composite layer appears to be well bonded to the aluminum substrate, and the structural integrity is attained – no evidence of porosities or severe reinforcement agglomeration. Fig. 16b shows the distribution of SiC inside the composite layer at a higher magnification. Apart from the improved distribution, the morphology of SiC was also changed subsequent to FSP – the size was decreased to less than 10 μm, and aspect ratio to 1. The rotating probe produced a breaking effect on the SiC particles, resulting in the cracking of some large particles and the knocking off of corners and sharpened edges from the large particles [26]. Fig. 17 shows the distributions of the DRA in the composites fabricated with different number of passes. It is evident that the particles were dispersed more homogeneously after a two-pass than a one-pass FSP, and after two passes the particle agglomerations disappeared in the middle of the FSP region.
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Abstract

Miglioramento localizzato della microstruttura mediante processo di Friction Stir per componenti pressocolati

Parole chiave: processi - pressocolata - microstruttura - fatica -

Il processo di Friction stir (FSP) è una conseguenza della tecnologia di saldatura per attrito allo stato solido (Friction stir welding - FSW) nel quale viene influenzata allo stato solido localmente la microstruttura impartendo un elevato livello di energia appor- tando un miglioramento delle proprietà meccaniche. Questo studio ha mostrato che il processo FSP può essere implementato come metodo di post-fusione per eliminare localmente difetti di fusione, quali la porosità, che è generata dallo sviluppo di gas durante la colata. Le seconde fasi a grani grossi vengono spezzettate in particelle quasi equiassiche e uniformemente distribuite nella matrice. Inoltre, durante il processo FSP si ottiene un affinamento del grano mediante ricristallizzazione dinamica. Ciò genera una migliore microdurezza, e migliori caratteristiche di trazione e resistenza a fatica nella lega della A206 colata e sottoposta al processo FSP. In più, il processo FSP si è rivelato uno strumento efficace nella produzione di strutture composite localizzate in componenti di alluminio colati. Tali miglioramenti hanno importanti implicazioni per la produzione di componenti in molte applicazioni industriali, automobilistiche e non. I vantaggi di impiego del processo di FSP come post-processo che può essere facilmente adattato durante la lavorazione rende il metodo molto interessante. Nel presente lavoro vengono esaminati e discussi i risultati ottenuti.